Exhibit B

(Pages from Brenda Murphy Deposition Transcript)

Brenda Murphy

The South Carolina State Conference vs. McMaste,

	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
3	COLUMBIA DIVISION
4	
5	CASE NUMBER: 3:21-cv-03302-JMC-TJH-RMG
6	
7	THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE CONFERENCE
8	OF THE NAACP,
9	and TAIWAN SCOTT, on behalf of himself
10	and all similarly situated persons,
11	Plaintiffs,
12	vs.
13	HENRY D. MCMASTER, in his official
14	capacity as Governor of
15	South Carolina, et al.,
16	Defendants.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	DEPOSITION
22	OF
23	BRENDA MURPHY
24	February 4, 2022 at 9:05 a.m.
25	

Brenda Murphy
The South Carolina State Conference vs. McMaste.

Page 22 1 member of the NAACP participated in one of our 2 community meetings. I would say meetings with presidents and members interested in attending. 3 That 4 was the only occasion. 5 When you use the term "we", to whom do you refer? 6 7 Α. I am talking about the coalition. 8 Q. Okay. And who is this representative to 9 whom you refer? 10 Α. T --11 MR. INGRAM: Objection. Asking for --12 I'm going to instruct my client not to answer. That's 13 asking for the identity of a NAACP member. MR. MOORE: I don't believe that's --14 15 is that a privileged -- you're instructing your client 16 not to answer when I ask her who she met with. 17 that correct? The answer would reveal 18 MR. INGRAM: 19 the name of a member and a partial disclosure of a 2.0 membership list and we would be happy to submit a 21 motion under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 22 30(b)(1) and there's Supreme Court case law, NAACP v. 23 Alabama that places precedent as a compelling sort of 24 prevention of this disclosure. 25 MR. MOORE: Well, then you're going to

Brenda Murphy
The South Carolina State Conference vs. McMaste,

	The South Carolina State Conference vs. Memaste,
	Page 64
1	three members would be party to engaging in purposeful
2	racial discrimination?
3	A. Sir, I can't read the minds of those
4	individuals. I only can look at the outcome in terms
5	of the mapping and the results of who it has will
6	impact, not has the potential but will impact and that
7	is people that are black.
8	Q. And do you know if Representative Henegan
9	is African American?
10	A. I know she's African American, yes.
11	Q. Do you know if Mr. Bamberg is African
12	American?
13	A. I know he's African American.
14	Q. All right. And
15	A. Also incumbent.
16	Q. And the person who attended this meeting
17	that you refuse to name, was that person an incumbent?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Is it Representative Jerry Govan?
20	MR. INGRAM: Objection. Not going to
21	disclose membership lists or names.
22	MR. MOORE: Well, Mr. Ingram, just so you
23	know, the documents that you provided us in discovery
24	last night and I'm going over them at the end of this
25	deposition, they provide us names and identifications of

Brenda Murphy
The South Carolina State Conference vs. McMaste.

Page 65 members of the South Carolina State Conference of the 1 2 NAACP. So I do not believe that that is a valid 3 objection. MR. INGRAM: If there are public 4 5 documents, you can point to names, by all means, but we 6 will not be confirming or denying any membership names 7 or lists. Ο. I didn't ask you for a list at this 8 9 point. I simply asked you if Representative Govan was a 10 person who attended this meeting for which there are no 11 minutes? 12 I'm instructing my client MR. INGRAM: 13 not to answer. That is an NAACP meeting and you're 14 asking my client to confirm a membership identity. 15 Are you a member of the South Carolina Ο. Conference of the NAACP, Ms. Murphy? Are you? I need 16 17 an answer, Ms. Murphy. That is a rhetorical question. 18 Α. 19 Well, it may be a rhetorical question but Ο. 20 it's a yes or no. Are you a member of the South Carolina State Conference of the NAACP? 21 22 Α. I am sitting before you as a member of the South Carolina State Conference. 23 And I believe that you have identified 24 0. 25 yourself publicly in public hearings as a member of the

Brenda Murphy February 4, 2022
The South Carolina State Conference vs. McMaste,

	Page 70
1	each of the challenged districts?
2	MR. INGRAM: Objection. I'm instructing
3	my client not to answer as it would disclose
4	confidential membership identity.
5	MR. MOORE: I didn't ask her for the
6	names of anyone, Mr. Ingram. I simply asked the
7	question and so I don't believe that objection is
8	well-founded.
9	(Simultaneous crosstalk.)
10	Q. My question is
11	MR. MOORE: Are you instructing her not
12	to answer, Mr. Ingram?
13	MR. INGRAM: Correct.
14	Q. Okay. My question is without identifying
15	any person, okay, who spoke to a person who resided in
16	each of the challenged districts?
17	MR. INGRAM: Objection. I don't know how
18	you respond to that question without identifying a
19	person. Same objection about membership lists.
20	Q. Did you speak to anyone from any
21	challenged district, Ms. Murphy?
22	A. I have to answer that with more than
0.3	just a yes or no.
23	just a yes of no.
2324	Q. Please answer my question and then

The South Carolina State Conference vs. McMaste,

	Page 171
1	Q. All right. So have you done a comparison
2	between the districts that are drawn in the current
3	House plan as enacted and the lines that were drawn in
4	2011? Have you done that?
5	A. No, I did not personally do that.
6	Q. Okay. Do you think that it might be wise
7	to look at that before making an allegation here?
8	A. I did not this is not a personal
9	plan of Brenda Murphy, sir. This is a plan that was
10	developed with input from individuals from the Sumter
11	area, from the Chester area, from the Anderson County
12	area. So this is not a Brenda Murphy plan.
13	Q. Who are the individuals from Anderson
14	County who gave you the information that
15	A. I have said to you as much as I can.
16	The president, the leadership from the branches,
17	members of the branches. That is all I can say to
18	you, sir.
19	Q. I'm asking you for names, Ms. Murphy.
20	Can you give me any names?
21	MR. INGRAM: Objection. I'm instructing
22	my client not to answer. We've already been through
23	this. We're not giving you names of members of the
24	NAACP.
25	MR. MOORE: Well, you've already given me

Brenda Murphy
The South Carolina State Conference vs. McMaste.

Page 182 identified areas to discuss and identify potential 1 2. plaintiffs from those areas. Attorney Boykin and Attorney Aden with LDF volunteered to work with the 3 plaintiffs to prepare them emotionally for testifying 4 5 during litigation should it become necessary. So my question is did you along with 6 7 members of the SC NAACP Political Action Group go 8 through the identified areas to identify potential 9 plaintiffs? 10 Α. I'm going to say there was an effort 11 made to do that but there was concerns voiced. I 12 never received a list, I know that, in terms of 13 identifying plaintiffs. So was that actualized? No. 14 Ο. Okay. So when there was a concern 15 voiced, a concern voiced by whom? 16 About presidents regarding a listing of 17 names. 18 How many people are members of the Q. 19 SC NAACP Political Action Group? 20 Α. I would say maybe ten. 21 Okay. And who are those people? Q. 2.2 MR. INGRAM: Objection insofar as these 23 are members of the NAACP whose names are not publicly 24 available. I'm instructing her not to answer --I'd also like you to look at the last 25 Q.